Three-dimensional Radial Visualization of High-dimensional Continuous or Discrete Datasets

Fan Dai, Yifan Zhu and Ranjan Maitra

Department of Statistics lowa State University {fd43,yifanzhu,maitra}@iastate.edu

- Multivariate datasets
 - agriculture, engineering, genetics, social science...
- Complex data structure
 - datasets with many discrete, skewed or correlated features
 - image, voice, surveys...
 - need advanced methods for analysis and summaries
- Display distinct groups while also inherent variability

Example: Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs)

- Extremely energetic explosions observed in distant galaxies.
 - data from NASA's Burst and Transient Source Experiment
 - 1,599 GRBs with complete information on 9 parameters
 - time for % flux to arrive, peak fluxes in different channels, time-integrated fluences over time-points
- Nine heavily-skewed "parameters" or attributes
 - use of logarithms to reduce skewness
- astrophysics community argued long over 2 or 3 types
 - analysis based on summary exclusion of some heavily-correlated attributes
 - recent analysis shows all 9 features important for clustering
 - actually 5 ellipsoidal groups, not 2 or 3
- smaller-dimensional 9D example used as a test case

• Visualization tools for continuous multivariate data

• pairwise scatter plots

Pairwise Scatterplots: Gamma Ray Bursts



RadViz3D for High-dimensional Data

Background and Current Work

- Visualization tools for continuous multivariate data
 - pairwise scatter plots
 - limited in providing multivariate assessments
 - parallel coordinates plot (Inselberg '85, Wegman '90)

Parallel Coordinate Plots: Gamma Ray Bursts



- Represent multidimensional data using lines.
 - vertical line represents each dimension or attribute.
 - p 1 lines connected at appropriate scaled dimensional value represent each observation
 - polar version provided by star plot

Background and Current Work

- Many approaches to display continuous multivariate data
 - pairwise scatter plots
 - limited in providing multivariate assessments
 - parallel coordinates plot (Inselberg '85, Wegman '90)
 - placement order matters, unclear for large n, p
 - hard to identify groups/patterns with even moderate *n*.
 - Andrews' curves represent each observation via trigonometric series

Andrews' Curves: Gamma Ray Bursts

• Plot each $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p)$ as a curve:

 $f(t) = x_1 + x_2 \sin t + x_3 \cos t + x_4 \sin 2t + x_5 \cos 2t + \dots, \qquad t \in [-\pi, \pi]$



Entire curve displays one observation

Background and Current Work

- Many approaches to display continuous multivariate data
 - pairwise scatter plots
 - limited in providing multivariate assessments
 - parallel coordinates plot (Inselberg '85, Wegman '90)
 - placement order matters, unclear for large n, p
 - polar version provided by star plot
 - Andrews' curves
 - order in which coordinate enters series important
 - very computationally intensive for larger p
 - Star coordinates plot

represents coordinate axes as equi-angled rays extending from center

- order matters, optimized (van Long & Linsen '11)
- Use springs to display observation (radial visualization)

Two-dimensional radial visualization (RadViz2D)

- Uses Hooke's law to project data onto unit circle
 - place *p* springs (anchor points) on the rim
 - pull each spring by value relative to coordinate from center
 - observations w/ similar relative values in all attributes end up closer to center, others are closer to the anchor points
 - order of placement of springs affects display
 - refinements to improve RadViz2D exist (see later)

RadViz2D Illustration

$$\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) = (0.7, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.7)$$

 Maps X ∈ ℝ^ρ to 2D point Ψ[•](X; U) = UX/1'_ρX: U projection matrix, columns (anchor points) on S¹

Two-dimensional radial visualization (RadViz2D)

- Uses Hooke's law to project data onto unit circle
 - place *p* springs (anchor points) on the rim
 - pull each spring by value relative to coordinate from center
 - observations w/ similar relative values in all attributes end up closer to center, others are closer to the anchor points
 - order of placement of springs affects display
 - refinements to improve RadViz2D exist (see later)
- Effective for sparse data, in evaluating distinct groups
 - Nonlinear map distorts, affects interpretability
 - High-dimensional observations more difficult to visualize
- Can fully 3D extension improve performance?
 - Viz3D provides third dimension, constant for all observations (*Artero & de Oliveira, '04*)

Generalizing Radial Visualization

• Allow anchor points in \boldsymbol{U} on \mathbb{S}^q , q > 1, not necessarily equi-spaced

- *p* springs at $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p \in \mathbb{S}^q$, with spring constants X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .
- equilibrium point $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ of system satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} X_j(\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{u}_j)=0,$$

• $Y = \Psi(X; U) = UX/1'_{\rho}X$ solves the system.

- is line-, point-ordering- and convexity-invariant.
- scaling every coordinate to be in [0,1] allows for $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{S}^{q}$.

Placement of Anchor Points

- Suppose: coordinates of X are uncorrelated.
- For $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$, let $Y_i = \Psi(X_i; U), i = 1, 2$.
 - Euclidean distance between Y₁ and Y₂ is

$$\|\boldsymbol{Y}_1 - \boldsymbol{Y}_2\|^2 = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{X}_1}{\boldsymbol{1}'_{\rho}\boldsymbol{X}_1} - \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_2}{\boldsymbol{1}'_{\rho}\boldsymbol{X}_2}\right)' \boldsymbol{U}' \boldsymbol{U} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{X}_1}{\boldsymbol{1}'_{\rho}\boldsymbol{X}_1} - \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_2}{\boldsymbol{1}'_{\rho}\boldsymbol{X}_2}\right),$$

- X_i, X_j very dissimilar, with perfect negative correlation, should be placed as far away as possible (in opposite directions) in our radial visualization.
- However, $\|\boldsymbol{Y}_i \boldsymbol{Y}_j\|^2 \to 0$ as $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_j \rangle \to 0$.
 - may create artificial visual correlation between *i*th and *j*th coordinates if $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle \rightarrow 0 < \pi/2$.
 - need u_js far from the other as possible; so evenly distributed.
 - \mathbb{S}^q : for larger q, can get larger angles between u_j s
- Also place positively correlated coordinates close together
 - q > 1 has advantage in placing multiple coordinates together

Three-dimensional Radial Visualization

- q = 2 in our generalization yields RadViz3D:
 - equi-spaced anchor points for 5 Platonic solids, p = 4, 6, 8, 12, 20.
 - closely related to Thomson problem in traditional molecular quantum chemistry (Atiyah & Sutcliffe '03).
 - for other *p*, approximate through Fibonacci grid, *j*th anchor point:

$$\begin{split} u_{j1} &= \cos(2\pi j \varphi^{-1}) \sqrt{1 - u_{j3}^2}, \\ u_{j2} &= \sin(2\pi j \varphi^{-1}) \sqrt{1 - u_{j3}^2}, \\ u_{j3} &= \frac{2j - 1}{p} - 1, \end{split}$$

where $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio.



(González '10)

• distributes anchor points along generative spiral on *S*², with consecutive points as separated as possible, satisfies "well-separation" property (Saff & Kuijlaars '97).

4D Examples simulated via MixSim package in R



RadViz2D, $\ddot{\omega} = 10^{-3}$

Viz3D

RadViz3D



RadViz2D, $\ddot{\omega} = 10^{-2}$

• Display *p* anchor points infeasible, even for moderate *p*

- placement of equally-spaced anchor points built on not inducing spurious positive correlations in display
 - with increasing *p*, harder to guarantee such outcome
- Project high-dimensional data to uncorrelated coordinates but preserve distinctiveness and variability in groups
 - Principal Components finds mutually orthogonal projections summarizing proportion of total variance, but does not account for groups.

Maximum-Ratio Projection (MRP)

- Step 1: Obtain PCs (orthogonal V_g) for each group
 - Find orthogonal W closest to all Vg
 - Project X with W and then obtain MRP
- Step 2: Obtain uncorrelated projections that maximize between-group sums of squares and cross products (SSCP) relative to the total SSCP.
 - Let T, W be (p.d.) total & between-group corrected SSCP.
 - $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_j = \mathbf{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j / \|\mathbf{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j\|, j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \ \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k \text{ are, in decreasing order, the } k \text{ largest eigenvalues of } \mathbf{T}^{-1/2} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{T}^{-1/2}.$
 - $k \leq G 1$, chosen by scree plot/quality of display
 - $G \le 4$ needs 4 G + 1 more projections w/ null contribution
 - needs p.d. T, does not hold if $p > \min n_g$
- MRP maximizes separation between groups (in projected space) relative to total variability.

500D Examples



RadViz2D, $\ddot{\omega} = 10^{-3}$

Viz3D

RadViz3D



RadViz2D, $\ddot{\omega} = 10^{-2}$

Datasets with Skewed Attributes

- Consider a r.v. X with CDF $F_X(x)$.
 - $F_X(X) \sim U(0,1) \Rightarrow Y = \Phi^{-1}[F_X(X)] \sim N(0,1).$
 - call the above (classical) Gaussianized Distributional Transform (CGDT)
 - marginal application of CGDT specifies distribution on **X** with desired marginal and correlation structure.
- CGDT standardizing transform, more stringent than usual affine 0-mean, unit-variance inducing transform
 - CGDT matches all marginal quantiles to N(0,1)
 - Apply to skewed datasets or with unclear marginals
- Before applying MRP and RadViz3D

Applications: Gamma Ray Bursts Dataset



RadViz2D

Viz3D Groups • 1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • 5 RadViz3D

- Heavily skewed attributes, so CGDT appropriate
- Results indicate 5 overlapping clusters
 - some suggestion of 2, 3 super-types of GRBs

Applications: Face Recognition



- 112×92-images of 6/40 faces at 10 light angles/conditions.
- (20×14) DWT2 (LL band) of wavelet-transformed images with 280 features (Jadhav & Holambe, 2009)

Applications: Face Recognition



Persons \bullet A \bullet B \bullet C \bullet D \bullet E \bullet F



RadViz2D

Viz3D

RadViz3D

- marginals unclear: use CGDT
- RadViz3D clarifies all 6 people the best

Datasets with Discrete Attributes

- For discrete-valued variable X, CDF F_X(X) ≁ U(0,1) because of discreteness.
 - CGDT currently not applicable



- Note that the CDF is only right continuous
- Solution proposed by Rüschendorf (2013) via the generalized distributional transform

Generalized Distributional Transform (GDT)

Definition

Let *X* be a real-valued RV with CDF $F_X(\cdot)$ and let $V \sim U(0, 1)$ be a RV independent of *X*. The generalized distributional transform of *X* is U = F(X, V) where $F(x, \lambda) \doteq P(X < x) + \lambda P(X = x) = F_X(x-) + \lambda [F_X(x) - F_X(x-)]$ is the generalized CDF of *X*.

Theorem

Let U = F(X, V) be the generalized distributional transform of X. Then

 $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1) \text{ and } X = F_X^{-1}(U) \text{ a.s.}$

where $F^{-1}(t) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_X(x) \ge t\}$ is the generalized inverse, or the quantile transform, of $F_X(\cdot)$.

• Use F(X, V) in place of $F_X(X)$, calculate GDT as before

- use of GDT on non-discriminating coordinate can spuriously bestow it hyper-importance
 - suggest ANOVA test on each GDT-ed coordinate, control FDR

Illustration: Simulated Binary Datasets



RadViz2D, low clustering complexity



RadViz3D



RadViz2D, high clustering complexity

Dai, Zhu & Maitra

Applications: Senate Voting Records



108th US Congress (2005-06) had 542 (Y/N/NR) Senate votes

- 55 Republicans, 44 Democrats, 1 (D-caucus) Independent (VT) (Banerjee *et al*, 2008)
 - combine N/NR to get dataset of binary attributes

Applications: Senate Voting Records



RadViz2D



• G = 2 so only 1 MRP with postive eigenvalue

- spring X₁ pulls members of one party towards itself more
- X₂, X₃, X₄ pull senators from both parties with equally (non-discriminating) force

Applications: Handwritten Indic Scripts



 Handwritten scripts from Bangla (east), Gujarati (west), Gurmukhi (north), Kannada and Malayalam (southern states of Karnataka and Kerala), Urdu (Persian script), with 116 mixed features (Obaidullah et al, 2017).

Applications: Handwritten Indic Scripts



RadViz2D

Viz3D

RadViz3D

Bangla • Gujarati • Gurmukhi • Kannada • Malayalam • Urdu

- Viz3D (lesser extent RadViz2D) separates Urdu, Kannada and Gujarati, not the other 3 languages
- RadViz3D best in classifying all the 6 scripts
 - also points to difficulty of problem

- Gene expression levels, in FPKM, of RNA sequences from 13 human organs.
 - focus on 8 largest (in terms of the sample size) organs
 - esophagus (659), colon (339), thyroid (318), lung (313), breast (212), stomach (159), liver (115) and prostate (106)
 - p=20242 discrete features
 - some have many discrete values, essentially continuous
 - dataset of mixed attributes.
- Display for distinctiveness of samples from each organ

Applications: RNA Sequences



RadViz2D



- RadViz2D, Viz3D poorer at separating organs
- RadViz3D indicates clear separation between organs
 - colon and stomach have some marginal overlap.

Conclusions and Further Work

- Visualization tool for HD datasets
 - RadViz3D for more comprehensive display of grouped data
 - MRP, GDT for discrete, mixed, skewed variates
 - displays distinct groups more accurately
 - R package https://github.com/fanne-stat/radviz3d
 - manuscript https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.06366
- Number of issues merit further attention
 - MRP linear; non-linear projections better?
 - extend for categorical (non-binary) attributes
 - GDT/MRP with other tools for improved visualization